Assigned for all purposes to: Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Judicial Officer: Holly Fujie

1 Reza Sina (SBN 250428) SINA LAW GROUP 2 3727 W. Magnolia Blvd, #277 Burbank, California 91505 3 Telephone: (310) 957-2057 4 reza@sinalawgroup.com 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff, A/K/A PRINCE YAHSHUA 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 9 (Central District – Stanly Mosk) 10 11 A/K/A PRINCE CASE NO. 228TCV11003 YAHSHUA, an individual, 12 COMPLAINT FOR: Plaintiff, 13 1. DEFAMATION: VS. 14 2. INTENTIONAL HUSSIE MODELS, LLC; RILEY 15 INTERFERENCE WITH REYNOLDS; and DOES 1-10, inclusive, PROSPECTIVE ECONOMIC 16 ADVANTAGE. Defendants. 17 JURY TRIAL DEMANDED 18 19 Plaintiff a/k/a Prince Yahshua, on information and belief, states as 20 follows for his complaint: 21 FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION 22 1. Plaintiff brings this action against defendants Riley Reynolds and his agency, 23 Hussie Models, LLC for defamation and intentional interference with prospective economic 24 advantage. 25 2. Specifically, Defendants engaged in a laundry list of lies and 26 misrepresentations—essentially tell telling entire adult entertainment industry that Plaintiff 27 28 - 1 -

COMPLAINT

is a forger (falsified documents), engaged in bribery (paid cash for an outcome) and has a loathsome disease (tested positive for an illness). Defendants told third parties:

- That Plaintiff "sent her [one of Defendants; models] an altered test . . . "
- Plaintiff "pa[id] her [Defendants'] \$300 cash for antibiotics."
- That Plaintiff tested positive for STDs.
- That Plaintiff was banned from one of the largest testing centers (Talent Testing Services)—and indeed, due to the false and defamatory statements, Plaintiff was banned by them.
- 3. As a result of Defendants' wrongful acts and omissions, and each of them, Plaintiff has suffered substantial damages, including economic harm (past and future income), severe emotional distress, humiliation, anxiety, worriedness and loss of sleep, all in in an amount to be determined at trial, but believed to be in excess of \$250,000. In addition, Defendants are liable for punitive damages based upon their acts of malice, oppression and fraud as those words are used and defined in Civil Code § 3294.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff a/k/a Prince Yahshua is an individual and a resident of Nevada.
 - Defendant Riley Reynolds is an individual and a resident of Florida.
- 6. Defendants Hussie Models, LLC is a California Limited Liability Company. At all times relevant, Reynolds was owner, officer and/or managing agent of Hussie Models. Reynolds was doing business as Hussie Models and Hussie Models are an alter ego of Hill in that there is such a unity of interest between Hussie Models and Reynolds that they are indistinguishable from one another. At all times, Reynolds formulated, directed, controlled, had the authority to control, and/or participated in the acts and practices set forth in this complaint and received a direct financial benefit from them. As such, an inequitable result would occur if Reynolds and Hussie Models are not treated as one and the same.

- 7. Defendants DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are sued herein under fictitious names. Their true names and capacities are unknown to Plaintiff at this time. When their true names and capacities are ascertained, Plaintiff will amend this complaint by inserting their true names and capacities herein. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the fictitiously named Defendants is responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and that Plaintiff's damages as herein alleged were proximately caused by those Defendants. Each reference in this complaint to "defendants," "Defendant," or a specifically named defendant refers also to all Defendants sued under fictitious names.
- 8. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the defendants designated herein as DOE took part in and participated with Defendants in all matters referred to herein and was in some manner responsible for the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff.
- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned each of the Defendants was the agent, servant and/or employee or occupied other relationships with each of the other named Defendants and at all times herein mentioned acted within the course and scope of said agency and/or employment and/or other relationship and each other Defendant has ratified, consented to, and approved the acts of his agents, employees, and representatives, and that each actively participated in, aided and abetted, or assisted one another in the commission of the wrongdoing alleged in this Complaint.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

10. This Court has jurisdiction over the action under Article 6, Section 10 of the California Constitution, and Section 410.10 of the California Code of Civil Procedure. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties because Plaintiff submits to the jurisdiction of the Court and Defendants reside in the County of Los Angeles and the State of California.

11. Venue is proper within this judicial district under Section 395 of the California Code of Civil Procedure because a substantial part of the acts, conduct, events or omissions occurred within the State of California, within Los Angeles County, and because the theft and fraudulent conduct occurred in Los Angeles County and the State of California.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

DEFAMATION

(Against All Defendants)

- The preceding paragraphs of this Complaint are realleged and incorporated by reference.
- 13. On or about February 4, 2022, Defendants (via Reynolds) published false statements to numerous third parties concerning Plaintiff's profession, reputation and character and accused the same of crimes and an illness (forgery, bribery and loathsome disease).
- Said rumors and false statements were understood by the individuals who heard and read them.
- 15. Said rumors and false statements directly impugned Plaintiff's character, calling him a criminal (that he is a forger and briber) and had a loathsome disease.
- 16. Said rumors and false statements were made with actual malice. Defendants intended to vex, annoy and humiliate Plaintiff as described more fully above.
- 17. Defendants' defamatory statements, as alleged herein, were defamatory *per se* because they tend, among other things, to state and imply that Plaintiff was engaging in unlawful conduct and harm Plaintiff in his business and that he engaged in crimes and has a loathsome disease.
- 18. As a proximate result of Defendants' defamation, Plaintiff has suffered special damages, including, but not limited to, loss of income and employment-related opportunities, severe emotional distress and mental anguish, physical distress and humiliation, all in a sum to be proven at trial.

19. In engaging in the above acts, Defendants acted with malice, fraud or oppression and in reckless disregard of Plaintiff's rights with the intent to cause injury and emotional distress to Plaintiff. Defendants' conduct was outrageous and despicable and warrants the award of punitive damages against all Defendants in an amount sufficient to punish Defendants and make an example of them.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE

ECONOMIC ADVANTAGE

(Against All Defendants)

- The preceding paragraphs of this Complaint are realleged and incorporated by reference.
- 21. At all material times herein, Plaintiff had developed many valuable economic relationships with his industry agencies, talent and testing centers. Defendants, and each of them, were well aware of these existing and prospective future relationships at all relevant times.
- 22. At all material times herein, Defendants, and each of them, engaged in the wrongful and unlawful conduct above to interfere with and injure said existing or prospective economic relationships and to unfairly interfere with Plaintiff's advantages of these relationships. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, Defendants purposely engaged in unlawful acts that were intended to interfere with Plaintiff's business operations and cause Plaintiff's existing and prospective agents, talents and testing centers to stop doing business with Plaintiff and disrupt Plaintiff's business. In so doing, Defendants, and each of them, acted unlawfully as specifically described throughout this complaint.
- 23. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' actions described in this Complaint, Plaintiff has sustained and will continue to sustain damages in an amount in excess of \$250,000.00.

| 1 | 24. Plaintiff is also entitled to exemplary and/or punitive damages as a result of |
|----|--|
| 2 | acts and omissions by Defendants which were malicious, fraudulent and/or oppressive in |
| 3 | nature as those words are defined in Civil Code § 3294 |
| 4 | |
| 5 | PRAYER FOR RELIEF |
| 6 | WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that the Court enter an order or judgment against |
| 7 | the Defendants as follows: |
| 8 | a. On every Cause of Action: |
| 9 | Compensatory damages in the amount of \$250,000; |
| 10 | ii. Award of punitive damages no less than \$1,000,000.00. |
| 11 | iii. Pre and post judgment interest at the legal rate; |
| 12 | Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. |
| 13 | |
| 14 | DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL |
| 15 | Plaintiff demands a trial by jury in this action. |
| 16 | |
| 17 | DATED: March 30, 2022 SINA LAW GROUP |
| 18 | |
| 19 | By <u>/s/ Reza Sina</u> REZA SINA |
| 20 | Attorneys for Plaintiff |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | |